

# ECON 7130 - MICROECONOMICS III

Spring 2016

Notes for Lecture #9

Today:

- GMM

## Generalized Method of Moments

- General idea:
  - Recall that the likelihood analysis is based on a full specification of the distributional form of the data, and the DGP is assumed to be known apart from a finite number of parameters to be estimated
  - The main condition for the asymptotic efficiency of the ML estimator is that the likelihood function is correctly specified
  - If there is much uncertainty on the distributional form, it may be preferable to apply an estimation technique that assumes less structure on the DGP
  - GMM is an alternative principle, where the estimator is derived from a set of minimal assumptions, the so-called moment conditions that the model should satisfy.
  - Key difference from ML and other methods:
    - \* The likelihood analysis begins with a statistical description of the data, and the econometrician should ensure that the likelihood function accounts for the main characteristics of the data
    - \* Based on the likelihood function we can test hypotheses implied by economic theory (i.e., the conditional probability should say something about the parameters)
    - \* A GMM estimation, on the other hand, typically begins with an economic theory and the data are used to produce estimates of the model parameters
    - \* Thus it's very much a structural approach - what are important are the model parameters and there is an emphasis on econ theory (e.g. does demand slope down??)
    - \* Estimation is done under minimal statistical assumptions, and often less attention is given to the fit of the model (in theory, it must be right if the moments hold)
  - Note the elegance in this - economic theory drives the model estimation
- Moment conditions:
  - A moment condition is a statement involving the data and the parameters of interest.
  - Generally:  $g(\theta_0) = E[f(w_t, z_t, \theta_0)] = 0$ , where
    - \*  $\theta$  is a  $K \times 1$  dimensional vector
    - \*  $f(\cdot)$  is an  $R$  dimensional vector of potentially non-linear functions
    - \*  $w_t$  is a vector of variables appearing in the model
    - \*  $z_t$  is a vector of instruments
  - Expectation of moment condition is zero when evaluated at the true parameters values,  $\theta_0$
  - For a given set of observations,  $w_t$  and  $z_t$  ( $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ ), we cannot calculate the expectation, and it is natural to rely on sample averages
  - Define the sample analogue to the moment conditions above as:  $g_T(\theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(w_t, z_t, \theta)$
  - We then define the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  as the solution to  $g_T(\theta) = 0$ .

- \* Need at least as many equations as we have parameters,  $R \geq K$  (the “order condition” for identification).
- \* If  $R = K$  we say that the system is exactly identified
- \* The estimator is referred to as the method of moments (MM) estimator.
- Example: MM estimator of the mean
  - \* Let  $\mu_0$  be the population expectation for  $y_t$
  - \* Let  $f(y_t, \mu_0) = y_t - \mu_0$
  - \* Then  $g(\mu_0) = E[f(y_t, \mu_0)] = E[y_t - \mu_0] = 0$
  - \* Based on the observed samples,  $y_t$  ( $T = 1, 2, \dots, T$ ) we can construct the the sample moment conditions:
  - \*  $g_T(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\mu}) = 0$
  - \* The MM mean estimator is the solution to this, or  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$  - the sample average
- Identification of MM estimators:
  - The moment conditions are said to identify the parameters in  $\theta_0$  if there is a unique solution, so that  $E[f(w_t, z_t, \theta)] = 0$  if and only if  $\theta = \theta_0$
- OLS as MM:
  - Consider the linear regression model,  $y_t = x_t' \beta_0 + \epsilon_t$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ ,
    - \*  $x_t$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector of regressors
    - \* Assume it represents the conditional expectation:  $E[y_t | x_t] = x_t' \beta_0$  so that  $E[\epsilon_t | x_t] = 0$
  - This implies  $K$  unconditional moments:
  - $g(\beta_0) = E[x_t \epsilon_t] = E[x_t (y_t - x_t' \beta_0)]$  (NOTE - these are OLS assumptions that the  $X$ 's are uncorrelated with the errors)
  - Corresponding sample moments:
  - $g_T(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t (y_t - x_t' \hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \hat{\beta} = 0$
  - The MM estimator can then be derived as the unique sol'n to:  $\hat{\beta}_{MM} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t$ 
    - \* Provided that  $\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t'$  is non-singular, so the inverse exists
  - Note:  $\hat{\beta}_{MM} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$
- IV as MM:
  - Partition the regression model above so that we have:  $y_t = x_{1t}' \gamma_0 + x_{2t}' \delta_0 + \epsilon_t$
  - The  $K_1$  variables in  $x_{1t}$  are pre-determined
  - The  $K_2 = K - K_1$  variables in  $x_{2t}$  are endogenous
  - This means:
    - \*  $E[x_{1t} \epsilon_t] = 0$  ( $K_1 \times 1$ )
    - \*  $E[x_{2t} \epsilon_t] \neq 0$  ( $K_2 \times 1$ )
  - There is no unique sol'n to the model like this since there are  $K$  parameters, but only  $K_1 < K$  moment conditions
  - Non consider  $K_2$  new variables, correlated with  $x_{2t}$ , but not with the errors:  $E[z_{2t} \epsilon_t] = 0$
  - These  $K_2$  new moment conditions can be added to the  $K_1$  above so that the model is now identified
  - Note that we now have two  $K \times 1$  vectors:  $x_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $z_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1t} \\ z_{2t} \end{pmatrix}$
  - $z_t$  is called the vector of instruments

- \* As we discussed with IV estimation...
- \*  $x_{1t}$  are instruments for themselves, since they are predetermined
- \*  $z_{2t}$  are instruments for  $x_{2t}$
- Now we have  $K$  moment conditions:  $g(\beta_0) = E[z_t \epsilon_t] = E[z_t(y_t - x_t' \beta_0)] = 0$
- The corresponding sample moment conditions are given by:  $g_T(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t(y_t - x_t' \hat{\beta}) = 0$
- The MM estimator is the solution to:  $\hat{\beta}_{MM} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T z_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t y_t$ 
  - \* Provided that the  $K \times K$  matrix  $\sum_{t=1}^T z_t x_t'$  is non-singular, so the inverse exists
- This MM estimator coincides with the simple IV estimator
- ML as MM:
  - Can do MM instead of ML
  - Moment conditions are that the first derivative of the likelihood function w.r.t. the parameters must equal zero at  $\theta_0$  (this is the likelihood score function)
  - MM gives consistent estimate of  $\theta$  even if likelihood function is misspecified
- Over-identified models and GMM:
  - If  $R > K$ , then model is over-identified and, in general, no solution to  $g_T(\theta) = 0$  exists
  - In this case, use the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimator,  $\hat{\theta}_{GMM}$
  - $\hat{\theta}_{GMM}$  is chosen to minimize the distance between the  $g_T(\hat{\theta})$  and 0
  - Usually use a distance corresponding to the sum of squares,  $g_T(\hat{\theta})' g_T(\hat{\theta})$
  - Weighting matrices:
    - \* A disadvantage of the simple sum of squared errors is that you weight moments depending upon the units used
    - \* Note that  $\hat{\theta}_{GMM}$  depends upon the weighting matrix used:
$$\hat{\theta}_{GMM}(W_T) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{g_T(\theta)' W_T g_T(\theta)\} \quad (1)$$
  - \* Where  $W_T$  is the weighting matrix chosen
  - \* Need  $W_T$  to be positive definite so that put some weight on all moments (don't throw info away!)
  - \* Note that the weight matrix is redundant when exactly identified (and in this case, estimator does not depend on weight matrix)
  - Why use an over identified model?
    - \* Practical - may have trouble making exactly identified hit exactly
    - \* Test model with over identifying restrictions
- Identification of GMM:
  - Need unique solution to  $\hat{\theta}_{GMM}(W_T) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} \{g_T(\theta)' W_T g_T(\theta)\}$
  - Also need the Law of Large Numbers to apply to the moment conditions (i.e.  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(w_t, z_t, \theta) \rightarrow E[f(w_t, z_t, \theta)]$  for  $T \rightarrow \infty$ )
    - \* If data are IID, these are fulfilled
    - \* If data are time series, need stationarity
- Std Errors
  - As we noted before, the GMM estimator depends on the weight matrix

- Some weight matrices produce precise estimators while others produce poor estimators with large variances
- We want to choose the optimal weight matrix to produce estimates with smallest possible asymptotic variance
- This is an efficient or optimal GMM estimator
- Intuition: moments with a small variance are very informative on the parameters and should have a large weight
- Moments with a high variance should have a smaller weight
- 2-Step

- \* 1st, estimate  $\theta$  with an identity matrix:  $\hat{\theta}_{[1]} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} g_T(\theta)' W_{[1]} g_T(\theta)$
- \* 2nd, use  $\hat{\theta}_{[1]}$  to find the optimal weight matrix,  $W_{[2]}^{opt} = \hat{\Omega}^{-1} = \left( g_T(\hat{\theta}_{[1]}) g_T(\hat{\theta}_{[1]})' \right)^{-1}$
- \*  $\Omega$  is the VCV matrix of the moments
- \* Now you can use the optimal weight matrix to find the parameter estimates:

$$\hat{\theta}_{[2]} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} g_T(\theta)' W_{[2]}^{opt} g_T(\theta) \quad (2)$$

- \* Note that estimator is not unique, but depends upon initial weight matrix
- \* This is the *two-step* GMM estimator
- \* If continue this until convergence (i.e. until the weight matrix doesn't change between iterations), get the *iterated* GMM estimator
- \* This estimator does not depend upon the initial weight matrix
- \* BUT, 2 steps is usually enough

- Overidentification tests

- Hansen J-test for over identifying restrictions (same as Sargan test for linear models - as we talked about with IV)
- $\xi_J = T * g_T(\hat{\theta}_{GMM})' W_T^{opt} g_T(\hat{\theta}_{GMM}) = T * Q_T(\theta) \sim \chi^2(R - K)$
- Where,  $Q_T = g_T(\theta)' W_T g_T(\theta)$
- $R - K$  degrees of freedom (because if want, can have  $K$  moments equal zero, so they don't contribute to test)
- The intuition is that if we can set  $K$  moments to zero, but if all  $R$  are valid, then the remaining  $R - K$  should also be close to zero
- So a lower J-stat means model more likely valid. Large means violated by data.
- NOTES:
  - \* J-stat does not test validity of model per se
  - \* It's not a test of underlying economic theory
  - \* It tests the whether over identifying restrictions are correct given identification using  $K$  moments
  - \* Often structural models fail this - usually just used to compare one model's fit to others'

How to code estimation:

- Stata
  - \* `gmm` command
  - \* Allows you to specify the moment conditions as substitutable expressions
    - You'll enclose model parameters in braces, `{}`
  - \* Think about moment conditions taking the form of  $E[z e(\beta)] = 0$ , where  $z$  is a vector of instruments, and  $\beta$  are the model parameters

- \* Will write out  $e(\beta)$  and then list instruments in the gmm command
- \* e.g., OLS by GMM
  - Regression equation is:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x_{1i} + \beta_2 * x_{2i} + \varepsilon_i$
  - Moments are  $E \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \varepsilon = 0$
  - To estimate via gmm do:
  - `gmm(y-x1*{b1}-x2*{b2}-{b3}), instruments(x1 x2), [options]`
  - Where the first expression is the expectation of the error (i.e. the expectation that'll be zero)
  - The options available include using a one-step or iterated estimator (default is two-step) and a number of std error corrects (robust, cluster, etc)
  - After run `gmm`, can do `estat overid` to get the Hansen J-test statistic
- Matlab
  - \* Did you all do this in Adam's class?
  - \* Write down the moments function to get  $Q_T$
  - \* Use `fminsearch` or other optimization routine (e.g. simulated annealing) to minimize the distance
  - \* Find optimal weight matrix by iterating on the above steps - use step 1 results to calculate  $W_2$ , the  $W_2$  to get  $\theta_2$
  - \* With these code, easy to make iteration until convergence

GMM: Example 1, Hansen and Singleton, "Generalized Instrumental Variables Estimation of Nonlinear Rational Expectations Models" (*Econometrica*, 1982):

- Mostly a methods paper about GMM with dynamic models
- Question: What are the coefficient of relative risk aversion and the time preference parameter for the representative consumer?
- Problem: Need a structural model to estimate this, most methods for estimating a structural parameters from dynamic models need to find a numerical solution to the model many times during estimation. This is computationally intensive.
- Solution: GMM using the Euler equations as moments
- Basic Model:
  - Consumption Capital Asset Pricing Model (Consumption CAPM)
    - \* Model will give equilibrium prices in terms of consumption
    - \* e.g., return on assets  $r_t$
  - consumer solves:  $\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} E_t \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$  s.t.  $c_t + s_t \leq w_t + R_t s_{t-1}$
  - Euler equation is:  $\beta E_t [u'(c_{t+1}) R_{t+1} | \mathcal{I}_t] = u'(c_t)$ 
    - \* Where  $\beta$  is the time discount factor
    - \*  $R$  is the gross, real interest rate
    - \*  $c$  is consumption
  - If we have Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA) utility function, then  $u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$
  - $\gamma < 1$  is the Coeff of Relative Risk Aversion
  - Euler is now:  $\beta E_t \left( \left[ \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right]^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} | \mathcal{I}_t \right) - 1 = 0$

- Can then write this as:  $\beta \left[ \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right]^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 = \varepsilon_{t+1}$
- Where  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$  is expectational error - uncorrelated with any variable in the time  $t$  information set,  $\mathcal{I}_t$
- The economic interpretation is that under rational expectations a variable in the information set must be uncorrelated to the expectation error.
- These give the orthogonality conditions of the moments - i.e. the model gives you the instrument set you need (anything in the info set)
- Note that this model is nonlinear. This is not a problem for GMM

- Identification:

- We have two parameters to estimate,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ .
  - \* Note that these are the deep parameters of the model- they are policy invariant
- Thus we'll need  $R \geq 2$  instruments to identify the model
- Any relevant variable in the information set,  $\mathcal{I}_t$  may be an instrument
- e.g., a constant,  $c_t$ ,  $R_t$ ,  $\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}$ , or lags of these
- Thus, can construct a vector of moment conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left[ \left( \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 \right) \right] &= 0 \\
 E \left[ \left( \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}} \right) \right] &= 0 \\
 E \left[ \left( \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 \right) R_t \right] &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- These moments will need to hold for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ .
- Thus can construct the sample analogue to the expectation, which is the sample mean of each moment
  - \* e.g.  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 = 0$  (this is the first moment condition above)
- Of course, also need economic model to be correct for identification

- Data:

- Stock return data
- Aggregate consumption data

- Results:

- Note how you don't have to solve the dynamic model - the FOC (euler equations) are all you need to estimate the parameters
- Estimates of  $\beta = 0.99$  or so,  $\gamma = 0.68 - 0.97$

BLP GMM...